2 3	Councilors Dober, Decelles, Kaplan, Shannon, Wright
4 5	Becelios, Rapiais, Silainion, Wilgie
6 7	IMPEACHMENT OR FORFEITURE PROCESS FOR MAYOR
8 9	AND CITY COUNCILORS
10 11 12	
13 14	In the year Two Thousand Ten
15	Resolved by the City Council of the City of Burlington, as follows:
16 17	That WHEREAS, the City of Burlington has no provisions in its Charter for either the
18	impeachment for cause and removal from office upon conviction of the Mayor and City
19	Councilors or when such an elected office is deemed forfeited; and
20	WHEREAS, to have such a provision in the Charter would protect the people of
21	Burlington from a situation where either a Mayor or a City Councilor commits an illegal act and
22	only relinquishes office if the individual chooses to resign, thus leaving the outcome entirely up
23	to an individual who would have already betrayed the public trust; and
24	WHEREAS, other municipalities and States have provisions for the impeachment for
25	cause and removal from office upon conviction for Mayors and City Councilors, or the Governor
26	of the State is empowered to remove such elected officials; and
27	WHEREAS, still other municipalities, including in Vermont Winooski and Brattleboro,
28	provide for forfeiture of local elected office under certain circumstances; and
29	WHEREAS, it would not be the desire for impeachment of a Mayor or a City Councilor
30	or forfeiture to ever be used except in clearly defined circumstances, keeping in mind that while
31	this could be an appropriate remedy for which the City Charter should provide it is nonetheless
32	an extreme remedy and should only be used in extreme circumstances; and

2 33 IMPEACHMENT OR FORFEITURE PROCESS FOR 34 MAYOR AND CITY COUNCILORS 35 36 WHEREAS, some grounds to consider for bringing forward an impeachment or forfeiture 37 action could include conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude along with malfeasance, 38 misfeasance and nonfeasance--terms embracing acts which an officeholder has no right to 39 perform, acts performed improperly, and failure to act in the face of an affirmative duty to act; 40 41 and WHEREAS, within the nation in some municipalities the judge of an impeachment or 42 43 forfeiture action is the local legislative body, with a two-thirds vote frequently required for 44 removal from office, while elsewhere an impeachment action in a municipality is judged by a court of law; 45 46 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council directs its Charter Change Committee to draft for the Council's consideration a proposal for a charter change 47 amendment regarding impeachment or forfeiture; and 48 49 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that in drafting such a proposal the Charter Change Committee is further directed to consider the following questions: 50 51 1) Under what grounds should an impeachment or forfeiture action be brought; 2) Who may bring forward an impeachment or forfeiture action; 52 3) Who shall judge an impeachment or forfeiture action; 53 4) Who shall prosecute an impeachment or forfeiture action; 54 5) What shall be the procedures and burden of proof for an evidentiary hearing; and 55 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Charter Change Committee is requested to report 56 57 back to this Council by the Council's meeting in July, 2010. 58